

# The noncommutative geometry of frame bundles

---

Stefan Wagner  
Blekinge Institute of Technology  
March 26, 2023

Frame bundles

The noncommutative geometry of principal bundles

The noncommutative geometry of frame bundles

Frame bundles

The noncommutative geometry of principal bundles

The noncommutative geometry of frame bundles

- The letters  $P$  and  $X$  stand for locally compact spaces.
- The letter  $G$  stands for a compact group.
- All representations of  $G$  are assumed to be finite-dimensional and unitary.
- We denote a representation  $\sigma : G \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(V_\sigma)$  by the pair  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  or simply by  $\sigma$  when no ambiguity is possible.
- We write  $\mathrm{Rep}(G)$  for the representation category of  $G$ .

A continuous action  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  is called a (*topological*) *principal bundle* if  $r$  is free, i. e., all stabilizer groups are trivial.

## The Hopf fibration

The canonical right action of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  on  $SU(2)$  is a principal bundle. Moreover,  $SU(2)/\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2$ . This yields the famous Hopf fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow SU(2) \cong \mathbb{S}^3 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2.$$

A continuous action  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  is called a (*topological*) *principal bundle* if  $r$  is free, i. e., all stabilizer groups are trivial.

## The Hopf fibration

The canonical right action of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  on  $SU(2)$  is a principal bundle. Moreover,  $SU(2)/\mathbb{S}^1 \cong \mathbb{S}^2$ . This yields the famous Hopf fibration

$$\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow SU(2) \cong \mathbb{S}^3 \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{S}^2.$$

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a principal bundle.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a hermitian vector bundle over  $P/G$  by putting  $\Gamma_P(\sigma) := (P \times V_\sigma)/G$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a bundle map of  $\Gamma_P(\sigma)$  by putting  $\Gamma(T)([(p, v)]) := [(p, T(v))]$ .
- We thus get a functor  $\Gamma_P : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_h(P/G)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_h(P/G)$  being the category of hermitian vector bundles over  $P/G$ .
- It is possible to reconstruct  $P$  from  $F_P$  up to isomorphism (Tannaka-Krein duality theory).

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a principal bundle.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a hermitian vector bundle over  $P/G$  by putting  $\Gamma_P(\sigma) := (P \times V_\sigma)/G$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a bundle map of  $\Gamma_P(\sigma)$  by putting  $\Gamma(T)([(p, v)]) := [(p, T(v))]$ .
- We thus get a functor  $\Gamma_P : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_h(P/G)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_h(P/G)$  being the category of hermitian vector bundles over  $P/G$ .
- It is possible to reconstruct  $P$  from  $F_P$  up to isomorphism (Tannaka-Krein duality theory).



Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a principal bundle.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a hermitian vector bundle over  $P/G$  by putting  $\Gamma_P(\sigma) := (P \times V_\sigma)/G$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a bundle map of  $\Gamma_P(\sigma)$  by putting  $\Gamma(T)([(p, v)]) := [(p, T(v))]$ .
- We thus get a functor  $\Gamma_P : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_h(P/G)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_h(P/G)$  being the category of hermitian vector bundles over  $P/G$ .
- It is possible to reconstruct  $P$  from  $F_P$  up to isomorphism (Tannaka-Krein duality theory).

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a principal bundle.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a hermitian vector bundle over  $P/G$  by putting  $\Gamma_P(\sigma) := (P \times V_\sigma)/G$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a bundle map of  $\Gamma_P(\sigma)$  by putting  $\Gamma(T)([(p, v)]) := [(p, T(v))]$ .
- We thus get a functor  $\Gamma_P : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_h(P/G)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_h(P/G)$  being the category of hermitian vector bundles over  $P/G$ .
- It is possible to reconstruct  $P$  from  $F_P$  up to isomorphism (Tannaka-Krein duality theory).

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a principal bundle.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a hermitian vector bundle over  $P/G$  by putting  $\Gamma_P(\sigma) := (P \times V_\sigma)/G$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we obtain a bundle map of  $\Gamma_P(\sigma)$  by putting  $\Gamma(T)([(p, v)]) := [(p, T(v))]$ .
- We thus get a functor  $\Gamma_P : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_h(P/G)$ ,  $\text{Vec}_h(P/G)$  being the category of hermitian vector bundles over  $P/G$ .
- It is possible to reconstruct  $P$  from  $F_P$  up to isomorphism (Tannaka-Krein duality theory).

Let  $q : E \rightarrow X$  be a hermitian vector bundle with typical fibre  $V$ .

The *frame bundle*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(E) := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathrm{Iso}(V, E_x), \quad E_x := q^{-1}(\{x\}),$$

carries the structure of a principal  $U(V)$ -bundle w. r. t. the canonical right action of  $U(V)$  on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$ .

### Remark

- Let  $(\pi, V)$  be the standard representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $U(V)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Fr}(E)}(\pi) = (\mathrm{Fr}(E) \times V)/U(V) \cong E$ .
- If  $E$  is real and orientable, then we may consider the reduction of  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$  to  $SO(n)$  given by orientation-preserving isometries.

Let  $q : E \rightarrow X$  be a hermitian vector bundle with typical fibre  $V$ .

The *frame bundle*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(E) := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathrm{Iso}(V, E_x), \quad E_x := q^{-1}(\{x\}),$$

carries the structure of a principal  $U(V)$ -bundle w. r. t. the canonical right action of  $U(V)$  on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$ .

### Remark

- Let  $(\pi, V)$  be the standard representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $U(V)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Fr}(E)}(\pi) = (\mathrm{Fr}(E) \times V)/U(V) \cong E$ .
- If  $E$  is real and orientable, then we may consider the reduction of  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$  to  $SO(n)$  given by orientation-preserving isometries.

Let  $q : E \rightarrow X$  be a hermitian vector bundle with typical fibre  $V$ .

The *frame bundle*

$$\mathrm{Fr}(E) := \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \mathrm{Iso}(V, E_x), \quad E_x := q^{-1}(\{x\}),$$

carries the structure of a principal  $U(V)$ -bundle w. r. t. the canonical right action of  $U(V)$  on  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$ .

### Remark

- Let  $(\pi, V)$  be the standard representation  $(\pi, V)$  of  $U(V)$ . Then  $\Gamma_{\mathrm{Fr}(E)}(\pi) = (\mathrm{Fr}(E) \times V)/U(V) \cong E$ .
- If  $E$  is real and orientable, then we may consider the reduction of  $\mathrm{Fr}(E)$  to  $SO(n)$  given by orientation-preserving isometries.

## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.

## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.



## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.

## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.

## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.

## Why frame bundles?

- Frame bundles constitute a key tool for studying vector bundles.
- The frame bundle can be utilized in order to attach several new vector bundles in a functorial manner.
- A connection on the frame bundle induces covariant derivatives on all associated bundles in a coherent way, leading to a various important geometric constructions, i. e.:
  - In Riemannian geometry, the Levi-Civita connection on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the tensor fields, leading, for instance, to the Riemannian curvature of  $X$ .
  - In Riemannian spin geometry, a “spin connection” on  $\text{Fr}(TX)$ ,  $X$  being a manifold, induces a covariant derivative on the spinor bundle, leading to the Dirac operator on the the spinor bundle.

## The What

Find an algebraic analogue of the geometric inducing procedure for frame bundles in the setting of  $C^*$ -algebraic principal bundles.

## The Why

This is part of a larger program with the purpose to give a novel bundle-theoretic perspective on NC Riemannian spin geometry:

- Lift Dirac operators to noncommutative principal bundles.
- Propose a notion of noncommutative frame bundles.
- Extend noncommutative principal bundles by central extensions.
- Find new noncommutative Riemannian spin geometries.

## The What

Find an algebraic analogue of the geometric inducing procedure for frame bundles in the setting of  $C^*$ -algebraic principal bundles.

## The Why

This is part of a larger program with the purpose to give a novel bundle-theoretic perspective on NC Riemannian spin geometry:

- Lift Dirac operators to noncommutative principal bundles.
- Propose a notion of noncommutative frame bundles.
- Extend noncommutative principal bundles by central extensions.
- Find new noncommutative Riemannian spin geometries.

## The What

Find an algebraic analogue of the geometric inducing procedure for frame bundles in the setting of  $C^*$ -algebraic principal bundles.

## The Why

This is part of a larger program with the purpose to give a novel bundle-theoretic perspective on NC Riemannian spin geometry:

- Lift Dirac operators to noncommutative principal bundles.
- Propose a notion of noncommutative frame bundles.
- Extend noncommutative principal bundles by central extensions.
- Find new noncommutative Riemannian spin geometries.

Frame bundles

The noncommutative geometry of principal bundles

The noncommutative geometry of frame bundles



- The letters  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  stand for unital  $C^*$ -algebras.
- We use  $\text{Irr}(G)$  to denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations.
- We write  $\text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  for the category of correspondences over  $\mathcal{B}$ .

- By a  $C^*$ -dynamical system we mean a triple  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  with a strongly continuous group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- We typically write  $\mathcal{B}$  for the corresponding fixed point algebra, i. e.,  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A}^G := \{x \in \mathcal{A} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g(x) = x\}$ .
- Like every representation of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  can be decomposed into its isotypic components  $A(\sigma) := P_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , where

$$P_\sigma(x) := \dim(\sigma) \cdot \int_G \text{Tr}(\sigma_g^*) \alpha_g(x) dg, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

That is,  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)}^{\text{alg}} A(\sigma)$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- By a  $C^*$ -dynamical system we mean a triple  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  with a strongly continuous group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- We typically write  $\mathcal{B}$  for the corresponding fixed point algebra, i. e.,  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A}^G := \{x \in \mathcal{A} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g(x) = x\}$ .
- Like every representation of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  can be decomposed into its isotypic components  $A(\sigma) := P_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , where

$$P_\sigma(x) := \dim(\sigma) \cdot \int_G \text{Tr}(\sigma_g^*) \alpha_g(x) dg, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

That is,  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)}^{\text{alg}} A(\sigma)$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

- By a  $C^*$ -dynamical system we mean a triple  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  with a strongly continuous group homomorphism  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ .
- We typically write  $\mathcal{B}$  for the corresponding fixed point algebra, i. e.,  $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{A}^G := \{x \in \mathcal{A} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g(x) = x\}$ .
- Like every representation of  $G$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  can be decomposed into its isotypic components  $A(\sigma) := P_\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , where

$$P_\sigma(x) := \dim(\sigma) \cdot \int_G \text{Tr}(\sigma_g^*) \alpha_g(x) dg, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

That is,  $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G)}^{\text{alg}} A(\sigma)$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(1) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $1$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w.r.t.
  - $\otimes$  – the canonical  $\otimes$ -bimodule structure,
  - $\circ$  – the restriction of  $\{a \otimes v, b \otimes w\}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{v, w\} a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := 1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .



Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a  $C^*$ -dynamical system.

- For an object  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) := \{x \in \mathcal{A} \otimes V_{\sigma} : (\forall g \in G) \alpha_g \otimes \sigma_g(x) = x\}.$$

- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}$  being the trivial representation of  $G$ .
- Each  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma)$  is naturally a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  w. r. t.
  - the canonical  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule structure,
  - the restriction of  $\langle a \otimes v, b \otimes w \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} := \langle v, w \rangle a^* b$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- Note that if  $(\sigma, V_{\sigma})$  is irreducible, then  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\bar{\sigma}) \otimes V_{\sigma} \cong A(\sigma)$  via the map defined by  $a \otimes \bar{v} \otimes w \mapsto a \cdot \langle v, w \rangle$ .
- For a morphism  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  we put  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T) := \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes T$ .
- Note that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

- We thus get a linear functor  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that:
  - (i)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ .
  - (ii)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .
- We have natural  $\mathcal{B}$ -bilinear isometries

$$m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) : \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto x_{12}y_{13}$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  such that:

- (iii)  $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau \otimes \rho) (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau, \rho)) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \rho) (m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{id})$   
for all objects  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

- We thus get a linear functor  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that:
  - (i)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ .
  - (ii)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .
- We have natural  $\mathcal{B}$ -bilinear isometries

$$m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) : \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto x_{12}y_{13}$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  such that:

$$\text{(iii)} \quad m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau \otimes \rho) (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau, \rho)) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \rho) (m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{id})$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

- We thus get a linear functor  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}} : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that:
  - (i)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ .
  - (ii)  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T)^* = \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .
- We have natural  $\mathcal{B}$ -bilinear isometries

$$m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) : \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto x_{12}y_{13}$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  such that:

- (iii)  $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau \otimes \rho) (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} m_{\mathcal{A}}(\tau, \rho)) = m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma \otimes \tau, \rho) (m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{id})$   
for all objects  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .



## Weak unitary tensor functors (Neshveyev, [1, Def. 2.1])

By a *weak unitary tensor functor*  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  we mean

- a linear functor  $\Gamma : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  together with
- natural  $\mathcal{B}$ -bilinear isometries

$$m(\sigma, \tau) : \Gamma(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma(\tau) \rightarrow \Gamma(\sigma \otimes \tau)$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$

such that:

- (i)  $\Gamma(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ .
- (ii)  $\Gamma(T)^* = \Gamma(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .
- (iii)  $m(\sigma, \tau \otimes \rho) (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} m(\tau, \rho)) = m(\sigma \otimes \tau, \rho) (m(\sigma, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{id})$   
for all objects  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

## Weak unitary tensor functors (Neshveyev, [1, Def. 2.1])

By a *weak unitary tensor functor*  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  we mean

- a linear functor  $\Gamma : \text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  together with
- natural  $\mathcal{B}$ -bilinear isometries

$$m(\sigma, \tau) : \Gamma(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Gamma(\tau) \rightarrow \Gamma(\sigma \otimes \tau)$$

for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$

such that:

- (i)  $\Gamma(\mathbb{1}) = \mathcal{B}$ .
- (ii)  $\Gamma(T)^* = \Gamma(T^*)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .
- (iii)  $m(\sigma, \tau \otimes \rho) (\text{id} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} m(\tau, \rho)) = m(\sigma \otimes \tau, \rho) (m(\sigma, \tau) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{id})$   
for all objects  $\sigma, \tau, \rho$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ .

### Theorem (Neshveyev, [1, Thm. 2.3])

There is a 1:1 correspondence between  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and weak unitary tensor functors  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$ .

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is called *free* if the *Ellwood map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(G, \mathcal{A}), \quad \Phi(x \otimes y)(g) := x\alpha_g(y)$$

has dense range (w. r. t. the canonical  $C^*$ -norm on  $C(G, \mathcal{A})$ ).

## Remark (A characterization of freeness)

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is free if and only if the induced maps  $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau)$  for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  are unitary. That is, there is a 1:1 correspondence between free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and unitary tensor functors  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$ .

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is called *free* if the *Ellwood map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(G, \mathcal{A}), \quad \Phi(x \otimes y)(g) := x\alpha_g(y)$$

has dense range (w. r. t. the canonical  $C^*$ -norm on  $C(G, \mathcal{A})$ ).

## Remark (A characterization of freeness)

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is free if and only if the induced maps  $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau)$  for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  are unitary. That is, there is a 1:1 correspondence between free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and unitary tensor functors  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$ .

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is called *free* if the *Ellwood map*

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\text{alg}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(G, \mathcal{A}), \quad \Phi(x \otimes y)(g) := x\alpha_g(y)$$

has dense range (w. r. t. the canonical  $C^*$ -norm on  $C(G, \mathcal{A})$ ).

## Remark (A characterization of freeness)

A  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  is free if and only if the induced maps  $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\sigma, \tau)$  for all objects  $\sigma, \tau$  in  $\text{Rep}(G)$  are unitary. That is, there is a 1:1 correspondence between free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and unitary tensor functors  $\text{Rep}(G) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$ .

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a continuous action on a compact space  $P$ .  
The map  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(P))$  given by

$$\alpha_g(f)(p) := f(r(p, g))$$

yields a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(P), G, \alpha)$ . Moreover, TFAE:

- $r$  is free in the classical sense, i. e., a principal bundle.
- $P \times G \rightarrow P \times P, (p, g) \mapsto (p, r(p, g))$  is injective.
- $(C(P), G, \alpha)$  is free in the sense of Ellwood.

Note: We have  $C(P)^G \cong C(P/G)$ .

Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a continuous action on a compact space  $P$ .  
The map  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(P))$  given by

$$\alpha_g(f)(p) := f(r(p, g))$$

yields a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(P), G, \alpha)$ . Moreover, TFAE:

- $r$  is free in the classical sense, i. e., a principal bundle.
- $P \times G \rightarrow P \times P, (p, g) \mapsto (p, r(p, g))$  is injective.
- $(C(P), G, \alpha)$  is free in the sense of Ellwood.

Note: We have  $C(P)^G \cong C(P/G)$ .



Let  $r : P \times G \rightarrow P$  be a continuous action on a compact space  $P$ .  
The map  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C(P))$  given by

$$\alpha_g(f)(p) := f(r(p, g))$$

yields a  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(C(P), G, \alpha)$ . Moreover, TFAE:

- $r$  is free in the classical sense, i. e., a principal bundle.
- $P \times G \rightarrow P \times P, (p, g) \mapsto (p, r(p, g))$  is injective.
- $(C(P), G, \alpha)$  is free in the sense of Ellwood.

Note: We have  $C(P)^G \cong C(P/G)$ .

## More examples of free $C^*$ -dynamical systems

- Each *quantum  $n$ -torus*  $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n$  together with its natural  $\mathbb{T}^n$ -action. We have  $\mathbb{T}_\theta^n^{\mathbb{T}^n} = \mathbb{C}$ .
- Each crossed product  $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\alpha D$  with discrete Abelian  $D$  together with its natural dual action by  $\hat{D}$ . We have  $(\mathcal{A} \rtimes_\alpha D)^{\hat{D}} = \mathcal{A}$ .
- $C^*(H_3)$  of the discrete, 3-dim. *Heisenberg group*  $H_3$  together with its (fibrewise)  $\mathbb{T}^2$ -action. We have  $C^*(H_3)^{\mathbb{T}^2} \cong C(\mathbb{T})$ .
- *Woronowicz's quantum*  $SU(2)$  together with its natural gauge action. We have  $SU_q(2)^{\mathbb{T}} = S_q^2$ . (*Quantum Hopf fibration*).
- For a graph  $\Gamma$  with finitely many vertices the gauge action on  $C^*(\Gamma)$  is free if and only if  $\Gamma$  is row-finite and has no sinks.
- The *Connes-Landi sphere*  $\mathbb{S}_\theta^7$  admits an action by  $SU(2)$  which gives a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system with fixed point algebra  $\mathbb{S}_{\theta'}^4$ .

## Why studying free actions?

- The study and classification of actions of (quantum-) groups on  $C^*$ -algebras is intrinsically interesting.
- Free actions are closely related to saturated Fell bundles, Hopf-Galois extensions, and strongly graded rings.
- NCPB's are becoming increasingly prevalent in applications to analysis, geometry, and mathematical physics, e. g.,:
  - Unbounded  $KK$ -theory
  - Noncommutative Riemannian geometry
  - Noncommutative gauge theory
  - TQFT's and T-duality

Frame bundles

The noncommutative geometry of principal bundles

The noncommutative geometry of frame bundles

## The problem (again)

### Problem (Noncommutative frame bundles)

Find an algebraic analogue of the geometric inducing procedure for frame bundles within the framework of free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems.

### How?

Given a certain correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  which plays the role of the vector bundle associated with an ordinary frame bundle w. r. t. the standard representation  $\pi$  of  $SO(n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , let's say  $M$ , we provide a construction procedure, by means of unitary tensor functors, for a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, SO(n), \alpha_M)$  with  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}_M}(\pi) \cong M$ .

## The problem (again)

### Problem (Noncommutative frame bundles)

Find an algebraic analogue of the geometric inducing procedure for frame bundles within the framework of free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems.

### How?

Given a certain correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  which plays the role of the vector bundle associated with an ordinary frame bundle w. r. t. the standard representation  $\pi$  of  $SO(n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , let's say  $M$ , we provide a construction procedure, by means of unitary tensor functors, for a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, SO(n), \alpha_M)$  with  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}_M}(\pi) \cong M$ .

## The central notion (W' 23)

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be the standard representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . We say that a correspondence  $M$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  is *tensorial of type  $\pi$*  if there exist injective linear maps

$$\varphi_{k,l} : C_{k,l} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M^{\otimes k}, M^{\otimes l})$$

for all  $k, l \geq 0$  such that:

$$(C) \quad \varphi_{l,m}(T') \varphi_{k,l}(T) = \varphi_{k,m}(T'T),$$

$$(A) \quad \varphi_{k,l}(T)^* = \varphi_{l,k}(T^*),$$

$$(U) \quad \varphi_{k,k}(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id},$$

$$(T) \quad \varphi_{k,k}(T) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{l,l}(T') = \varphi_{k+l}(T \otimes T')$$

for all  $k, l, m \geq 0$  and respective morphisms  $T, T'$ .

Let  $(\pi, V)$  be the standard representation of  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . We say that a correspondence  $M$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  is *tensorial of type  $\pi$*  if there exist injective linear maps

$$\varphi_{k,l} : C_{k,l} := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(V^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes l}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M^{\otimes k}, M^{\otimes l})$$

for all  $k, l \geq 0$  such that:

$$(C) \quad \varphi_{l,m}(T') \varphi_{k,l}(T) = \varphi_{k,m}(T' T),$$

$$(A) \quad \varphi_{k,l}(T)^* = \varphi_{l,k}(T^*),$$

$$(U) \quad \varphi_{k,k}(\mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{id},$$

$$(T) \quad \varphi_{k,k}(T) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \varphi_{l,l}(T') = \varphi_{k+l}(T \otimes T')$$

for all  $k, l, m \geq 0$  and respective morphisms  $T, T'$ .



## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i.e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i. e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i. e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i. e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i. e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (I)

Let  $M$  be a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$ .

- The main idea is to put together a unitary tensor functor  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  by means of  $M$ .
- In particular, we need “building blocks”, i. e., a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$  for each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$ .
- Crucially, each irreducible representation of  $\text{SO}(n)$  occurs as a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- For each  $\sigma \in \text{Irr}(\text{SO}(n))$  we choose a representative  $(\sigma, V_\sigma)$  that is a subrepresentation of some  $(\pi^{\otimes k}, V^{\otimes k})$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .
- We denote by  $P_\sigma$  the orthogonal projection of  $V^{\otimes k}$  onto  $V_\sigma$ .

## The main idea of the construction (II)

- Clearly,  $P_\sigma \in C_{k,k}$ , and hence  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  acts as an adjointable operator on  $M^{\otimes k}$ .
- (C) and (A) combined imply that  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  is a projection, and from this it may be concluded that

$$\Gamma_M(\sigma) := \varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)(M^{\otimes k})$$

is a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

- Now, we need to extend  $\Gamma_M(\sigma)$  to all objects  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))$  and construct  $\Gamma_M(T)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))$ ...

## The main idea of the construction (II)

- Clearly,  $P_\sigma \in C_{k,k}$ , and hence  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  acts as an adjointable operator on  $M^{\otimes k}$ .
- (C) and (A) combined imply that  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  is a projection, and from this it may be concluded that

$$\Gamma_M(\sigma) := \varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)(M^{\otimes k})$$

is a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

- Now, we need to extend  $\Gamma_M(\sigma)$  to all objects  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))$  and construct  $\Gamma_M(T)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))\dots$



## The main idea of the construction (II)

- Clearly,  $P_\sigma \in C_{k,k}$ , and hence  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  acts as an adjointable operator on  $M^{\otimes k}$ .
- (C) and (A) combined imply that  $\varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)$  is a projection, and from this it may be concluded that

$$\Gamma_M(\sigma) := \varphi_{k,k}(P_\sigma)(M^{\otimes k})$$

is a correspondence over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Note that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

- Now, we need to extend  $\Gamma_M(\sigma)$  to all objects  $\sigma$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))$  and construct  $\Gamma_M(T)$  for all morphisms  $T$  in  $\text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n))\dots$

## The main results (I)

### Theorem (W' 23)

Each correspondence  $M$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$  gives rise to a unitary tensor functor  $\Gamma_M : \text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ , and, in consequence, to a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}_M}(\pi) \cong \Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

### Theorem (W' 23)

The construction recovers the classical setting of frame bundles.

### Remark/Definition

The latter result justifies to call the free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  from the former result the *noncommutative frame bundle associated with  $M$* .

## The main results (I)

### Theorem (W' 23)

Each correspondence  $M$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$  gives rise to a unitary tensor functor  $\Gamma_M : \text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ , and, in consequence, to a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}_M}(\pi) \cong \Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

### Theorem (W' 23)

The construction recovers the classical setting of frame bundles.

### Remark/Definition

The latter result justifies to call the free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  from the former result the *noncommutative frame bundle associated with  $M$* .

## The main results (I)

### Theorem (W' 23)

Each correspondence  $M$  over  $\mathcal{B}$  that is tensorial of type  $\pi$  gives rise to a unitary tensor functor  $\Gamma_M : \text{Rep}(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \text{Corr}(\mathcal{B})$  such that  $\Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ , and, in consequence, to a free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  such that  $\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}_M}(\pi) \cong \Gamma_M(\pi) = M$ .

### Theorem (W' 23)

The construction recovers the classical setting of frame bundles.

### Remark/Definition

The latter result justifies to call the free  $C^*$ -dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}_M, \text{SO}(n), \alpha_M)$  from the former result the *noncommutative frame bundle associated with  $M$* .

### Corollary (W' 23)

The map  $[(\mathcal{A}, \mathrm{SO}(n), \alpha)] \mapsto [\Gamma_{\mathcal{A}}(\pi)]$  yields a 1:1 correspondence between equivalence classes of free  $C^*$ -dynamical systems with structure group  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$  and fixed point algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and equivalence classes of correspondences over  $\mathcal{B}$  that are tensorial of type  $\pi$ . Its inverse is given by  $[M] \mapsto [(\mathcal{A}_M, \mathrm{SO}(n), \alpha_M)]$ .

Thank you for your attention!

- [1] S. Neshveyev. “Duality theory for nonergodic actions”. In: *Münster J. Math.* 7.2 (2013), pp. 414–437. ISSN: 1867-5778; 1867-5786/e.